Independent Electricity Market Operator

Ontario Demand Forecast

from October 2002 to March 2004



This page intentionally left blank

Executive Summary

This 18-Month forecast is an update to and supercedes the 18-Month demand forecast released June 24, 2002. The most significant difference from the previous forecast document is that the peak demand is now measured over a 60-minute period rather than on a 20-minute basis. This move has been made in order to be consistent with surrounding jurisdictions, which also use a 60-minute peak value. In addition, the forecasting system has been updated to include actual economic, demand and weather data through to the end of June 2002. The economic forecast was also updated to reflect the most current outlook for the Ontario economy. Despite the change to the measure of the peak demand value, the forecasts – both energy and peak demand - are relatively similar to the previous forecast. Higher actual and weather corrected demand values for the second quarter of 2002 and an improved economic outlook have led to an increase in the forecast of energy demand forecast for 2003. The energy demand forecast for 2003 have also increased the energy demand forecast for 2003. The energy demand forecast for 2003 is 150.9 TWh - an increase of 0.9% over 2002.

The peak demand forecast for both the winter and summer of 2003 are lower than the previous forecast due to the transition to a 60-minute peak. Next winter's 60-minute peak demand is expected to reach 23,750 MW. Next summer's 60-minute peak demand is anticipated to be just over 23,350 MW. All forecasts are based on Normal weather.

Figure 1 shows the difference between the current and previous demand forecasts over their common time frame. The difference is calculated as the current less the previous forecast, with negative values indicating that the current forecast is lower.



Figure 1 Difference in Monthly Peak and Energy Demand – Current Forecast Vs Previous

This page intentionally left blank.

Caution and Disclaimer

The contents of these materials are for discussion and information purposes and are provided "as is" without representation or warranty of any kind, including without limitation, accuracy, completeness or fitness for any particular purpose. The Independent Electricity Market Operator (IMO) assumes no responsibility to you or any third party for the consequences of any errors or omissions. The IMO may revise these materials at any time in its sole discretion without notice to you. Although every effort will be made by the IMO to update these materials to incorporate any such revisions it is up to you to ensure you are using the most recent version.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

| Execu | tive Summary | i |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------|
| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Outlook Documents | 1 |
| 1.2 | Demand Forecast Document | 1 |
| 2.0 | Forecasting Inputs and Assumptions | 3 |
| 3.0 | Historical Demand | 5 |
| 3.1 | Historical Energy Demand | 6 |
| 3.2 | Historical Peak Demand | 7 |
| 4.0 | Demand Forecast | 9 |
| 4.1 | Energy Demand Forecast | 9 |
| | | |
| 4.2 | Peak Demand Forecast | 10 |
| 4.2 4.3 | Peak Demand Forecast Comparison of Current Forecast to Previous Forecasts | 10 12 |
| 4.2 4.3 Append | Peak Demand Forecast Comparison of Current Forecast to Previous Forecasts dix A - Energy Demand Forecast Details | 10 12 13 |
| 4.2 4.3 Append Append | Peak Demand Forecast Comparison of Current Forecast to Previous Forecasts dix A - Energy Demand Forecast Details dix B - Peak Demand Forecast Details | |

List of Tables

| Ontario Economic Drivers | 4 |
|--|--|
| Ontario Annual Energy and Peak Demand | 5 |
| Ontario Annual Energy Demand, Actual and Weather Corrected | 6 |
| Actual Historical Peak Demand | 8 |
| Weather Corrected Historical Peak Demand | 8 |
| Ontario Monthly Energy Demand, Normal & Extreme Weather | 9 |
| Forecast of Monthly Peak Demand – Normal & Extreme Weather 1 | 1 |
| Weekly Zonal Energy Forecast, Normal Weather 1 | 3 |
| Weekly Zonal Coincident Peak Demand Forecast, Normal Weather 1 | 5 |
| Weekly Zonal Non-Coincident Peak Demand Forecast, Normal Weather 1 | 7 |
| Approximate Analytical Factors Affecting Demand 1 | 9 |
| | Ontario Economic Drivers Ontario Annual Energy and Peak Demand Ontario Annual Energy Demand, Actual and Weather Corrected Actual Historical Peak Demand Weather Corrected Historical Peak Demand Ontario Monthly Energy Demand, Normal & Extreme Weather |

List of Figures

| Figure 1 Difference in Monthly Peak and Energy Demand – Curre | ent Forecast Vs Previousi |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Figure 3.1 Annual Energy Demand and Employment | |
| Figure 4.1 Monthly System Energy Demand – Normal Weather | |
| Figure 4.2 Forecast of Weekly 60-Minute System Peak Demand | - Normal & Extreme Weather 11 |

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Outlook Documents

The Ontario Electricity Market Rules (Chapter 5 Section 7.1) require that the Independent Electricity Market Operator (IMO) produce and publish demand forecasts on a quarterly basis for the next 18 months. This Ontario Demand Forecast covers the 18-Month period from October 1, 2002 to March 31, 2004 and supercedes the previous forecast from July 2002 to December 2003, dated June 24, 2002.

1.2 Demand Forecast Document

This document provides an 18-Month forecast of electricity demand for Ontario, based on the stated assumptions, and using the described methodology in the document "Methodology to Perform Long Term Assessments "(IMO_REP_0044). Readers may envision other possible scenarios, recognizing the uncertainties associated with various input assumptions, and are encouraged to use their own judgement in considering possible future scenarios. This forecast provides a base upon which changes in assumptions can be considered.

The Ontario Demand is the sum of coincident loads plus the losses on the IMO-controlled grid. This demand forecast was based on actual demand, weather and economic data as of June 2002.

Section 2.0 describes the assumptions used in this forecast of electricity demand. Section 3.0 looks at historical demand, Section 4.0 presents the forecast and Appendices A through C contain additional demand forecast details and analysis.

Readers are invited to provide comments on this report or to give suggestions as to the content of future reports. To do so, please call the IMO Help Centre at 905-403-6900 or 1-888-448-7777 or send an email to <u>helpcentre@thelMO.com</u>. Copies of the forecast, by hour and zone, are available upon request.

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 Forecasting Inputs and Assumptions

A detailed description of the demand forecasting methodology can be found in the document <u>Methodology to Perform Long Term Assessments (IMO_REP_0044)</u>. In addition to the methodology described in the document, the forecast of electricity demand requires inputs and/or assumptions with respect to economic activity and weather. This section describes these inputs.

Consumption of energy is modeled using three sets of forecast drivers: calendar variables, weather effects and economic conditions. Each of these drivers is embedded in the forecasting system and each plays a role in shaping the results. Appendix C, Analytical Factors Affecting Demand, summarizes the relative impacts on energy and peak demand for the driver variables.

Calendar variables are relatively static and are not addressed here. For a more detailed discussion the reader is encouraged to look at the <u>Methodology</u> document.

Weather effects include measures of temperature, cloud cover, wind speed and dew point. Both energy and peak demand are weather sensitive. The length and severity of a season's weather contributes to the level of energy consumed and severe weather conditions usually underpin the seasonal peaks.

For purposes of the demand forecast "Normal" weather - based on historical data – is utilized rather than forecast weather. Normal weather is calculated by ranking the weather within each historical week, then taking the average of each of the ranked days. In this way, the Normal weather for each week reflects both hotter and colder days. An Extreme weather scenario is also based on historical weather but uses minimums and maximums rather the average in the Normal weather scenario. It is interesting to note that the Extreme scenario is essentially built of a series of 1 in 30-year events. The possibility of this occurring every week is very remote, however the possibility of having at least one week with a 1 in 30 years event is significant. Hence the need for the Extreme scenario. A more detailed explanation of how the Extreme and Normal weather scenarios are generated is contained in the Methodology document.

Load Forecast Uncertainty (LFU) is a measure of the uncertainty in demand due to weather variations. LFU represents the variation in peak demand due to one standard deviation in the weather elements underpinning the peak demand. This information is valuable in determining a distribution of potential outcomes under various weather conditions. It should be recognized that for resource adequacy assessments, the "Normal" weather forecast is used in conjunction with LFU to consider a range of peak demands that can occur with various weather conditions with varying probability of occurrence.

Economic conditions contribute to the growth in both peak and energy demand. To produce a demand forecast an economic forecast of various drivers is required. A consensus of four major, publicly available provincial forecasts was utilized to generate the economic drivers used in the model. Table 2.1 summarizes the key economic drivers for energy and peak demand on the IMO-controlled grid.

In general, the economic outlook for the Ontario sees moderate growth for both 2002 and 2003. In comparison to the previous demand forecast the growth expectations are higher for both 2002 and 2003.

| | Ontario En | nployment | Ontario Housing Starts | | | |
|----------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Year | Thousands | Annual Growth (%) | Thousands | Annual Growth (%) | | |
| 1995 | 5,128 | 2.0 | 31.9 | (23.3) | | |
| 1996 | 5,175 | 0.9 | 39.5 | 23.9 | | |
| 1997 | 5,298 | 2.4 | 50.0 | 26.5 | | |
| 1998 | 5,476 | 5,476 3.4 50.1 | | 0.2 | | |
| 1999 | 5,672 3.6 62.9 | | 25.6 | | | |
| 2000 | 5,856 | 3.2 | 67.4 | 7.1 | | |
| 2001 | 5,962 | 1.8 | 70.3 | 4.2 | | |
| 2002 (f) | 6,044 | 1.4 | 77.1 | 9.7 | | |
| 2003 (f) | 6,172 | 2.1 | 68.6 | (11.0) | | |
| 2004 (f) | 6,302 | 2.1 | 64.2 | (6.4) | | |

Table 2.1 Ontario Economic Drivers

Notes to Table 2.1:

(f) indicates a forecasted value.

3.0 Historical Demand

This section looks at historical energy and peak demand and the factors affecting them. Energy demand represents the total consumption of electricity during a specified period of time, be it an hour, day, week, month, season or year. Peak demand represents the maximum requirement for electricity at a specific point in time. Ontario measures peak demand over the course of an hour. One can look at the daily, weekly, monthly, seasonal or annual peak.

Table 3.1 shows the actual annual energy and peak demand, on a calendar basis, for the period 1984-2001.

| | Annual Demand | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Calendar Year | Actual Energy (TWh) | Annual Growth (%) | Actual Peak (MW) | Annual Growth (%) | | | | |
| 1984 | 112.29 | | 18,896 | | | | | |
| 1985 | 116.05 | 3.34% | 20,473 | 8.35% | | | | |
| 1986 | 120.57 | 3.90% | 20,668 | 0.95% | | | | |
| 1987 | 126.46 | 4.88% | 20,524 | -0.70% | | | | |
| 1988 | 134.39 | 134.39 6.28% 23,012 | | 12.12% | | | | |
| 1989 | 140.77 | 4.74% | 23,630 | 2.69% | | | | |
| 1990 | 136.74 | -2.86% | 22,311 | -5.58% | | | | |
| 1991 | 136.97 | 0.16% | 23,212 | 4.04% | | | | |
| 1992 | 134.38 | -1.89% | 23,540 | 1.41% | | | | |
| 1993 | 133.48 | -0.67% | 22,087 | -6.17% | | | | |
| 1994 | 134.87 | 1.05% | 24,007 | 8.69% | | | | |
| 1995 | 137.04 | 1.60% | 22,855 | -4.80% | | | | |
| 1996 | 137.42 | 0.28% | 22,321 | -2.34% | | | | |
| 1997 | 138.37 | 0.69% | 22,197 | -0.56% | | | | |
| 1998 | 139.93 | 1.13% | 22,443 | 1.11% | | | | |
| 1999 | 144.09 | 2.97% | 23,435 | 4.42% | | | | |
| 2000 | 146.95 | 1.98% | 23,428 | -0.03% | | | | |
| 2001 | 146.91 | -0.02% | 25,269 | 7.86% | | | | |

Table 3.1 Ontario Annual Energy and Peak Demand

Notes to Table 3.1:

Italics, bold and shading indicate a summer peak.

3.1 Historical Energy Demand

Actual primary energy demand has averaged annual growth of 1.6% over the historic period of 1984 to 2001. Energy demand is affected by the three classes of drivers but to varying degrees. On an annual basis, all years would be equal in terms of calendar effects except for leap years, which would have an additional day. Weather will impact annual energy consumption, however not to the degree that peak values are weather sensitive. This is due to the fact that throughout the course of the year, the variability of weather will mean that highs and lows tend to offset each other. The growth in energy demand is highly influenced by the economic class of drivers, which includes both economic activity and demographic factors.

Table 3.2 shows the weather corrected annual energy demand. The actual energy demand is adjusted to reflect the Normal weather that underpins the forecast. This table will not match similar tables in earlier documents as the model to calculate weather corrected values has been updated and will give slightly different results. The weather correction for each of the years is less than 1%, reinforcing that variations in weather throughout the year tend to mitigate each other. It is also interesting to note that weather corrections have lowered the value in 4 of the 7 years shown. However, this recent trend has not influenced this forecast any more than the previous 20 years of historical data, since the forecasting methodology employed does not attempt to include cyclical effects of weather, which occur with various frequencies.

| | Annual Energy Demand | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Calendar Year | Actual Energy (TWh) | Annual Growth (%) | Weather Corrected Energy (TWh) | Annual Growth (%) | | | | |
| 1995 | 137.04 | 1.60% | 135.80 | | | | | |
| 1996 | 137.42 | 0.28% | 136.59 | 0.59% | | | | |
| 1997 | 138.37 | 0.69% | 138.12 | 1.12% | | | | |
| 1998 | 139.93 | 1.13% | 140.39 | 1.64% | | | | |
| 1999 | 144.09 | 2.97% | 143.43 | 2.16% | | | | |
| 2000 | 146.95 | 1.98% | 147.07 | 2.54% | | | | |
| 2001 | 146.91 | -0.02% | 147.14 | 0.05% | | | | |

Table 3.2 Ontario Annual Energy Demand, Actual and Weather Corrected

Figure 3.1 graphically shows employment and annual energy demand. It is easy to see that the strong correlation between employment and annual energy demand over the course of recent history.



Figure 3.1 Annual Energy Demand and Employment

3.2 Historical Peak Demand

Historically, Ontario's electricity peak demand has occurred during the winter, usually in the months of December through February and between the hours of 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. Exceptions to this were in 1998, 1999, 2001 and potentially 2002, when the annual peak demand occurred during the afternoon of July and August. Peak demand is affected by the three classes of drivers but to varying degrees.

Calendar variables, in conjunction with weather, have a large impact on peak demand. Weekly or monthly peak demands rarely occur on a weekend or holiday. Since 1985 only 4 of the 204 monthly peaks have occurred on a weekend and none of those were summer or winter peaks.

In conjunction with calendar impacts, weather plays the biggest role in determining peak values. Severe weather conditions underpin peak demand, particularly so if those weather conditions persist over several days.

Over the course of a season, weather can exhibit great variability. For example, a winter that is generally mild will have a lower than normal energy demand, but can still give rise to a higher than normal peak demand due to a short cold spell. These severe weather episodes are captured in the IMO's analysis in the LFU and the Extreme weather scenario. Using the LFU allows a probability to be assigned to these weather events.

Table 3.4 shows the actual summer and winter peaks from 1990 through to 2001. Unlike energy demand, which shows a generally smooth upward trend, peak demand shows the variability more closely associated with the weather underpinning that day's peak.

| Seasonal Year | Winter Peak (MW) | Summer Peak (MW) |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1990 | 23,491 | 20,408 |
| 1991 | 23,046 | 21,121 |
| 1992 | 23,463 | 19,939 |
| 1993 | 21,964 | 20,883 |
| 1994 | 23,857 | 20,918 |
| 1995 | 22,812 | 21,674 |
| 1996 | 22,613 | 21,378 |
| 1997 | 22,030 | 21,613 |
| 1998 | 21,494 | 22,403 |
| 1999 | 23,150 | 23,433 |
| 2000 | 23,301 | 23,160 |
| 2001 | 23,126 | 25,239 |
| 2002 | 22,263 | 25,414 |

Table 3.3 Actual Historical Peak Demand

Notes to Table 3.3:

The winter season is from November through March. Therefore, in the case of 1996, the winter spans November 1995 through to March 1996. Spring consists of April and May, summer of June through August and fall September and October.

As with energy demand, peak demand can be adjusted to reflect Normal weather rather than the actual weather underpinning it. The results of this correction are shown in Table 3.5. By comparing this table with the previous one it is possible to discern those seasons where the peak weather conditions were above or below the Normal weather.

Table 3.4 Weather Corrected Historical Peak Demand

| Seasonal Year | Winter Peak (MW) | Summer Peak (MW) | Winter Peak Correction Factor (MW) | Summer Peak Correction Factor (MW) |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 1995 | 22,216 | 20,788 | -596 | -886 |
| 1996 | 22,051 | 20,441 | -562 | -937 |
| 1997 | 21,634 | 20,649 | -396 | -964 |
| 1998 | 21,881 | 21,661 | 387 | -742 |
| 1999 | 22,297 | 21,727 | -853 | -1,706 |
| 2000 | 22,546 | 22,115 | -755 | -1,045 |
| 2001 | 23,052 | 22,592 | -74 | -2,647 |
| 2002 | 23,309 | 24,472 | 1,046 | -942 |

4.0 Demand Forecast

The demand forecast is split into two separate parts, the energy demand forecast and the 60-minute peak demand forecast. In this section the discussion focuses on Ontario demand, however more detailed information on the individual zones can be found in Appendices A and B.

4.1 Energy Demand Forecast

The predicted monthly energy demand for the system for the timeframe October 2002 through to March 2004 is contained in Table 4.1. This table contains the forecast of energy demand under both the Normal and Extreme weather scenarios. Figure 4.1 shows the monthly energy demand. Energy demand is expected to exhibit average annual growth of 1.6% in 2002 and 0.9% in 2003. Growth in demand is driven by changes in economic activity, the number of end-users and the penetration of electric powered devices.

A forecast of zonal energy demand by week is provided in Appendix A. Energy demand growth varies across the zones as they are subject to different economic forces.

Table 4.1 Ontario Monthly Energy Demand, Normal & Extreme Weather

| Month | Energy Dem and - Norm al W eather | Energy Demand - Extreme Weather | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | (G W h) | (GWh) | | | |
| O c t-0 2 | 12,090 | 14,316 | | | |
| N o v - 0 2 | 12,400 | 14,311 | | | |
| Dec-02 | 13,379 | 12,298 | | | |
| Jan-03 | 13,923 | 12,571 | | | |
| Feb-03 | 12,430 | 13,196 | | | |
| Mar-03 | 12,906 | 14,565 | | | |
| Apr-03 | 11,703 | 15,033 | | | |
| M a y - 0 3 | 11,632 | 13,581 | | | |
| Jun-03 | 12,147 | 13,934 | | | |
| J u I-0 3 | 13,231 | 12,342 | | | |
| A u g - 0 3 | 12,970 | 12,517 | | | |
| Sep-03 | 11,635 | 13,485 | | | |
| O c t-0 3 | 12,224 | 14,527 | | | |
| N o v - 0 3 | 12,472 | 14,424 | | | |
| Dec-03 | 13,662 | 12,449 | | | |
| Jan-04 | 14,039 | 12,702 | | | |
| Feb-04 | 12,909 | 13,279 | | | |
| M a r - 0 4 | 13,134 | 14,849 | | | |





4.2 Peak Demand Forecast

The forecast of monthly peak demand is contained in Table 4.2. This table contains the forecast under both the Normal and Extreme weather scenarios. A forecast of zonal weekly peak demand (both coincident and non-coincident) is contained in Appendix B. The coincident peak represents the peak Ontario Demand and the corresponding zonal values at the time of the system peak. The non-coincident peak values are the individual peaks for each of the zones. The forecast non-coincident peaks may or may not occur at the same time.

The Normal weather winter peak for 2003 is expected to be 23,755 MW, increasing to 23,960 MW for winter 2004. The Normal weather summer peak for 2004 is projected to be 23,354 MW. These values represent the combination of the forecast of economic activity and the Normal weather scenario. Figure 4.2 displays the forecast of weekly system peaks for both the Normal and Extreme weather scenarios. The Extreme weather peaks are forecasted to be 25,470 MW for the winter of 2003, 26,006 MW for the summer of 2003 and 25,675 MW for the winter of 2004.

The resource adequacy assessments described in the companion document, "An Assessment of the Reliability of the IMO-Controlled Grid", take into consideration the full range of possible weather conditions on a probabilistic basis. Results are presented assuming Normal weather as a base. Allowance for the probability of demand being higher than those assumed in the base case is made in the calculation of the required reserve level. For the purposes of the assessment, it has been assumed that 300 MW of the peak demand is price sensitive and therefore may not be present at times when the demand/supply balance is tight.

| Month | Normal W eather Peak Demand | Extreme Weather Peak Demand |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | (MW) | (MW) |
| O c t-0 2 | 20,331 | 20,844 |
| N o v - 0 2 | 22,375 | 23,762 |
| D e c - 0 2 | 23,458 | 25,155 |
| Jan-03 | 23,755 | 25,470 |
| Feb-03 | 23,194 | 24,705 |
| M a r - 0 3 | 22,032 | 23,456 |
| Apr-03 | 19,758 | 20,966 |
| M a y - 0 3 | 19,678 | 22,331 |
| Jun-03 | 22,657 | 25,468 |
| J u l-0 3 | 23,354 | 25,853 |
| A u g - 0 3 | 23,035 | 26,006 |
| Sep-03 | 21,235 | 23,600 |
| O c t-0 3 | 20,538 | 21,051 |
| N o v - 0 3 | 22,543 | 23,931 |
| Dec-03 | 23,693 | 25,322 |
| Jan-04 | 23,960 | 25,675 |
| Feb-04 | 23,384 | 24,895 |
| Mar-04 | 22 243 | 23 668 |

Table 4.2 Forecast of Monthly Peak Demand – Normal & Extreme Weather





4.3 Comparison of Current Forecast to Previous Forecasts

The most recent forecast with which this 18-Month forecast can be compared is the one published June 24, 2002, covering the period July 2002 to December 2003. The current forecast is primarily an update to the previous one. The forecasting system was re-estimated based on actual economic, weather and demand data through to the end of June 2002. In addition to the re-estimation of the model an updated economic forecast was fed into the system. As mentioned earlier in the document, the only methodological change was the move to a 60-minute rather than a 20-minute peak demand value. This change was made in order to be more consistent with surrounding jurisdictions, which use a 60-minute peak value. Over the last 18 years, the 60-minute peak was, on average, 80 MW lower than the 20-minute peak.

The economic outlook sees a marginally stronger 2002-2003 as compared to the previous forecast. Higher economic growth for the second half of 2002 and higher than anticipated demand for the first half of 2002 has led to a higher energy demand forecast for 2002 - 149.5 TWh versus 148.5 TWh in the previous document. The energy demand outlook for 2004 has increased as well, standing at 150.9 TWh up from 150.0 TWh in the previous document.

With the transition to a 60-minute peak from a 20-minute peak the forecasts are not directly comparable. In general, the peak values are lower, but some of the decline has been offset by the expected increase in economic activity. As well, in updating the models for the most recent data, the winter peaks have been revised downward while the summer peaks have been increased. The previous forecast predicted a 2003 winter Normal peak of just over 23,900 MW and this forecast is predicts a lower 23,755 MW. The current forecast predicts a 2003 summer Normal peak of 23,354 MW as opposed to 23,371 MW previously. The Extreme weather winter peak for 2003 is lower than the previous forecast (25,470 MW vs. 25,643 MW). The Extreme weather summer peak for 2003 peak tops 26,000 MW, down slightly from the previous forecast.

Appendix A - Energy Demand Forecast Details

| | | | | | | (GWh) | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|--------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|------|-----------------|
| Week Ending | Northwest | Northeast | East | Essa | Ottawa | Toronto | Niagara | Bruce | Southwest | West | Total System |
| 06-Oct-02 | 147 | 202 | 169 | 127 | 170 | 911 | 112 | 7 | 506 | 303 | 2,655 |
| 13-Oct-02 | 148 | 207 | 179 | 139 | 170 | 915 | 113 | 7 | 514 | 303 | 2,694 |
| 20-Oct-02 | 148 | 213 | 185 | 148 | 167 | 898 | 112 | 7 | 511 | 300 | 2,688 |
| 27-Oct-02 | 148 | 217 | 195 | 159 | 169 | 923 | 115 | 7 | 531 | 309 | 2,773 |
| 03-Nov-02 | 150 | 217 | 189 | 153 | 167 | 928 | 116 | 8 | 526 | 312 | 2,765 |
| 10-Nov-02 | 154 | 220 | 200 | 163 | 175 | 944 | 119 | 9 | 540 | 318 | 2,841 |
| 17-Nov-02 | 156 | 223 | 208 | 171 | 180 | 966 | 120 | 8 | 550 | 322 | 2,904 |
| 24-Nov-02 | 157 | 225 | 214 | 177 | 182 | 976 | 120 | 9 | 558 | 324 | 2,942 |
| 01-Dec-02 | 158 | 227 | 219 | 183 | 184 | 984 | 121 | 9 | 567 | 326 | 2,977 |
| 08-Dec-02 | 162 | 231 | 227 | 191 | 190 | 1,007 | 122 | 9 | 581 | 331 | 3,051 |
| 15-Dec-02 | 162 | 234 | 232 | 195 | 193 | 1,012 | 122 | 9 | 586 | 332 | 3,076 |
| 22-Dec-02 | 163 | 237 | 236 | 200 | 196 | 1,022 | 123 | 9 | 591 | 336 | 3,113 |
| 29-Dec-02 | 147 | 225 | 227 | 193 | 189 | 953 | 113 | 8 | 551 | 317 | 2,922 |
| 05-Jan-03 | 156 | 232 | 227 | 190 | 188 | 957 | 114 | 8 | 547 | 312 | 2,931 |
| 12-Jan-03 | 167 | 243 | 242 | 204 | 205 | 1,039 | 126 | 8 | 600 | 342 | 3,176 |
| 19-Jan-03 | 167 | 242 | 241 | 203 | 203 | 1,031 | 125 | 8 | 598 | 340 | 3,158 |
| 26-Jan-03 | 166 | 240 | 239 | 202 | 200 | 1,025 | 125 | 9 | 596 | 339 | 3,142 |
| 02-Feb-03 | 167 | 241 | 241 | 205 | 201 | 1,035 | 126 | 9 | 602 | 342 | 3,167 |
| 09-Feb-03 | 165 | 238 | 240 | 203 | 199 | 1,035 | 126 | 9 | 601 | 341 | 3,156 |
| 16-Feb-03 | 165 | 236 | 236 | 200 | 195 | 1,025 | 125 | 9 | 596 | 338 | 3,126 |
| 23-Feb-03 | 164 | 232 | 229 | 194 | 189 | 1,006 | 124 | 9 | 584 | 332 | 3,063 |
| 02-Mar-03 | 163 | 230 | 228 | 193 | 189 | 1,010 | 125 | 9 | 581 | 331 | 3,059 |
| 09-Mar-03 | 161 | 226 | 223 | 191 | 185 | 990 | 124 | 9 | 571 | 326 | 3,005 |
| 16-Mar-03 | 159 | 223 | 219 | 191 | 181 | 975 | 123 | 9 | 566 | 324 | 2,969 |
| 23-Mar-03 | 156 | 218 | 214 | 189 | 175 | 954 | 122 | 8 | 558 | 320 | 2,914 |
| 30-Mar-03 | 152 | 213 | 206 | 186 | 167 | 920 | 120 | 8 | 544 | 313 | 2,830 |
| 06-Apr-03 | 150 | 217 | 195 | 171 | 166 | 927 | 117 | 6 | 538 | 311 | 2,799 |
| 13-Apr-03 | 152 | 213 | 197 | 164 | 162 | 920 | 117 | 7 | 533 | 311 | 2,776 |
| 20-Apr-03 | 149 | 207 | 193 | 164 | 151 | 881 | 114 | 7 | 515 | 301 | 2,681 |
| 27-Apr-03 | 146 | 200 | 192 | 166 | 148 | 885 | 115 | 6 | 518 | 303 | 2,680 |
| 04-May-03 | 146 | 193 | 190 | 166 | 145 | 877 | 114 | 6 | 515 | 300 | 2,653 |
| 11-May-03 | 145 | 189 | 188 | 165 | 144 | 872 | 112 | 6 | 515 | 298 | 2,633 |
| 18-May-03 | 143 | 189 | 187 | 162 | 144 | 873 | 112 | 6 | 513 | 298 | 2,626 |
| 25-May-03 | 140 | 191 | 187 | 157 | 143 | 856 | 111 | 6 | 499 | 295 | 2,585 |
| 01-Jun-03 | 141 | 188 | 187 | 156 | 150 | 898 | 115 | 6 | 514 | 308 | 2,663 |
| 08-Jun-03 | 142 | 189 | 177 | 144 | 170 | 961 | 120 | 5 | 532 | 340 | 2,779 |
| 15-Jun-03 | 141 | 185 | 180 | 146 | 174 | 984 | 121 | 6 | 555 | 340 | 2,833 |
| 22-Jun-03 | 141 | 183 | 181 | 148 | 176 | 999 | 123 | 7 | 572 | 337 | 2,867 |
| 29-Jun-03 | 142 | 182 | 182 | 151 | 177 | 1,017 | 124 | 7 | 583 | 328 | 2,893 |

Table A1 Weekly Zonal Energy Forecast, Normal Weather

Notes to Table A1:

Figures may not add due to rounding.

Table A1 – continued

| | | | | | | (GWh) | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|--------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|------|-----------------|
| Week Ending | Northwest | Northeast | East | Essa | Ottawa | Toronto | Niagara | Bruce | Southwest | West | Total System |
| 06-Jul-03 | 137 | 180 | 185 | 154 | 182 | 1,044 | 126 | 7 | 595 | 329 | 2,939 |
| 13-Jul-03 | 136 | 180 | 187 | 154 | 188 | 1,058 | 127 | 6 | 592 | 342 | 2,971 |
| 20-Jul-03 | 137 | 182 | 187 | 156 | 191 | 1,068 | 127 | 5 | 580 | 358 | 2,991 |
| 27-Jul-03 | 137 | 182 | 182 | 154 | 189 | 1,049 | 125 | 5 | 566 | 372 | 2,960 |
| 03-Aug-03 | 138 | 181 | 181 | 153 | 189 | 1,050 | 125 | 6 | 567 | 374 | 2,963 |
| 10-Aug-03 | 140 | 186 | 178 | 148 | 186 | 1,029 | 125 | 6 | 557 | 370 | 2,924 |
| 17-Aug-03 | 140 | 189 | 179 | 149 | 188 | 1,038 | 126 | 6 | 564 | 373 | 2,953 |
| 24-Aug-03 | 141 | 194 | 178 | 148 | 187 | 1,034 | 127 | 6 | 564 | 372 | 2,951 |
| 31-Aug-03 | 143 | 200 | 179 | 147 | 188 | 1,041 | 129 | 7 | 569 | 377 | 2,978 |
| 07-Sep-03 | 133 | 196 | 180 | 144 | 162 | 937 | 118 | 7 | 529 | 329 | 2,736 |
| 14-Sep-03 | 140 | 197 | 177 | 138 | 165 | 942 | 117 | 8 | 531 | 324 | 2,738 |
| 21-Sep-03 | 142 | 197 | 170 | 129 | 167 | 929 | 115 | 7 | 522 | 314 | 2,692 |
| 28-Sep-03 | 144 | 197 | 169 | 127 | 169 | 926 | 113 | 7 | 519 | 310 | 2,680 |
| 05-Oct-03 | 147 | 201 | 171 | 129 | 171 | 924 | 113 | 7 | 514 | 309 | 2,685 |
| 12-Oct-03 | 148 | 206 | 180 | 140 | 171 | 928 | 114 | 7 | 520 | 308 | 2,722 |
| 19-Oct-03 | 148 | 211 | 187 | 149 | 168 | 910 | 113 | 7 | 518 | 305 | 2,717 |
| 26-Oct-03 | 148 | 215 | 197 | 161 | 170 | 935 | 117 | 7 | 537 | 313 | 2,801 |
| 02-Nov-03 | 150 | 216 | 190 | 155 | 168 | 942 | 117 | 8 | 534 | 316 | 2,795 |
| 09-Nov-03 | 154 | 219 | 203 | 166 | 175 | 952 | 121 | 9 | 546 | 323 | 2,868 |
| 16-Nov-03 | 156 | 221 | 210 | 173 | 181 | 974 | 122 | 9 | 556 | 327 | 2,929 |
| 23-Nov-03 | 157 | 224 | 216 | 180 | 182 | 986 | 122 | 9 | 563 | 328 | 2,967 |
| 30-Nov-03 | 158 | 226 | 221 | 186 | 184 | 994 | 122 | 9 | 573 | 330 | 3,002 |
| 07-Dec-03 | 163 | 230 | 230 | 194 | 190 | 1,016 | 123 | 9 | 587 | 335 | 3,077 |
| 14-Dec-03 | 162 | 232 | 234 | 198 | 193 | 1,021 | 124 | 9 | 592 | 336 | 3,102 |
| 21-Dec-03 | 163 | 235 | 238 | 203 | 196 | 1,032 | 125 | 9 | 598 | 340 | 3,139 |
| 28-Dec-03 | 151 | 226 | 233 | 201 | 193 | 989 | 118 | 8 | 573 | 331 | 3,023 |
| 04-Jan-04 | 158 | 232 | 231 | 195 | 190 | 981 | 117 | 8 | 562 | 322 | 2,997 |
| 11-Jan-04 | 168 | 241 | 245 | 208 | 205 | 1,049 | 127 | 8 | 607 | 347 | 3,204 |
| 18-Jan-04 | 167 | 240 | 243 | 206 | 203 | 1,040 | 127 | 9 | 604 | 345 | 3,185 |
| 25-Jan-04 | 166 | 238 | 241 | 205 | 200 | 1,035 | 127 | 9 | 603 | 344 | 3,169 |
| 01-Feb-04 | 167 | 239 | 244 | 208 | 202 | 1,045 | 128 | 9 | 608 | 346 | 3,195 |
| 08-Feb-04 | 165 | 236 | 242 | 206 | 200 | 1,044 | 127 | 9 | 608 | 346 | 3,184 |
| 15-Feb-04 | 165 | 234 | 238 | 203 | 196 | 1,035 | 127 | 9 | 603 | 343 | 3,154 |
| 22-Feb-04 | 164 | 230 | 231 | 197 | 189 | 1,015 | 126 | 9 | 592 | 337 | 3,091 |
| 29-Feb-04 | 163 | 229 | 231 | 197 | 190 | 1,020 | 126 | 9 | 589 | 336 | 3,090 |
| 07-Mar-04 | 161 | 224 | 225 | 194 | 185 | 1,001 | 125 | 9 | 578 | 331 | 3,035 |
| 14-Mar-04 | 159 | 221 | 222 | 194 | 181 | 986 | 125 | 9 | 573 | 329 | 2,999 |
| 21-Mar-04 | 156 | 216 | 216 | 192 | 176 | 965 | 124 | 8 | 565 | 325 | 2,943 |
| 28-Mar-04 | 152 | 212 | 209 | 189 | 168 | 931 | 122 | 8 | 551 | 318 | 2.860 |

Notes to Table A1:

Figures may not add due to rounding.

Appendix B - Peak Demand Forecast Details

| | 60-Minute Coincident Peak Demand (MW) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Week Ending | Northwest | Northeast | East | Essa | Ottawa | Toronto | Niagara | Bruce | Southwest | West | System | Load Forecast Uncertainty |
| 06-Oct-02 | 936 | 1,259 | 1,199 | 1,159 | 1,267 | 6,466 | 781 | 43 | 3,626 | 2,117 | 18,853 | 348 |
| 13-Oct-02 | 918 | 1,288 | 1,308 | 1,230 | 1,284 | 6,550 | 782 | 45 | 3,666 | 2,103 | 19,174 | 350 |
| 20-Oct-02 | 921 | 1,315 | 1,370 | 1,310 | 1,278 | 6,621 | 788 | 45 | 3,739 | 2,125 | 19,512 | 383 |
| 27-Oct-02 | 919 | 1,340 | 1,432 | 1,391 | 1,272 | 6,678 | 795 | 47 | 3,801 | 2,150 | 19,825 | 428 |
| 03-Nov-02 | 943 | 1,360 | 1,374 | 1,326 | 1,301 | 7,034 | 803 | 51 | 3,900 | 2,239 | 20,331 | 369 |
| 10-Nov-02 | 980 | 1,387 | 1,524 | 1,478 | 1,379 | 7,201 | 853 | 62 | 4,070 | 2,332 | 21,266 | 546 |
| 17-Nov-02 | 1,000 | 1,402 | 1,583 | 1,549 | 1,425 | 7,353 | 865 | 61 | 4,142 | 2,375 | 21,755 | 417 |
| 24-Nov-02 | 1,009 | 1,437 | 1,645 | 1,622 | 1,466 | 7,467 | 873 | 60 | 4,222 | 2,396 | 22,197 | 576 |
| 01-Dec-02 | 1,011 | 1,445 | 1,673 | 1,662 | 1,472 | 7,467 | 877 | 65 | 4,295 | 2,408 | 22,375 | 583 |
| 08-Dec-02 | 1,042 | 1,481 | 1,756 | 1,761 | 1,540 | 7,659 | 888 | 66 | 4,429 | 2,449 | 23,071 | 850 |
| 15-Dec-02 | 1,040 | 1,494 | 1,784 | 1,796 | 1,558 | 7,671 | 888 | 63 | 4,463 | 2,450 | 23,207 | 711 |
| 22-Dec-02 | 1,042 | 1,515 | 1,815 | 1,833 | 1,570 | 7,747 | 897 | 64 | 4,492 | 2,483 | 23,458 | 905 |
| 29-Dec-02 | 1,033 | 1,530 | 1,798 | 1,794 | 1,516 | 7,590 | 881 | 60 | 4,392 | 2,451 | 23,045 | 640 |
| 05-Jan-03 | 993 | 1,452 | 1,667 | 1,694 | 1,383 | 6,888 | 817 | 55 | 3,969 | 2,214 | 21,132 | 753 |
| 12-Jan-03 | 1,061 | 1,541 | 1,857 | 1,883 | 1,600 | 7,845 | 898 | 60 | 4,504 | 2,506 | 23,755 | 858 |
| 19-Jan-03 | 1,052 | 1,534 | 1,838 | 1,856 | 1,580 | 7,759 | 895 | 60 | 4,470 | 2,489 | 23,533 | 953 |
| 26-Jan-03 | 1,044 | 1,518 | 1,828 | 1,840 | 1,567 | 7,695 | 888 | 60 | 4,442 | 2,451 | 23,333 | 845 |
| 02-Feb-03 | 1,043 | 1,517 | 1,840 | 1,842 | 1,571 | 7,743 | 891 | 62 | 4,467 | 2,457 | 23,433 | 589 |
| 09-Feb-03 | 1,029 | 1,500 | 1,812 | 1,801 | 1,542 | 7,695 | 887 | 62 | 4,432 | 2,434 | 23,194 | 707 |
| 16-Feb-03 | 1,027 | 1,486 | 1,788 | 1,761 | 1,518 | 7,640 | 883 | 65 | 4,397 | 2,407 | 22,972 | 778 |
| 23-Feb-03 | 1,018 | 1,464 | 1,740 | 1,698 | 1,479 | 7,498 | 873 | 64 | 4,313 | 2,361 | 22,508 | 825 |
| 02-Mar-03 | 1,025 | 1,450 | 1,682 | 1,716 | 1,440 | 7,429 | 862 | 64 | 4,262 | 2,367 | 22,297 | 803 |
| 09-Mar-03 | 1,012 | 1,426 | 1,665 | 1,709 | 1,417 | 7,329 | 857 | 63 | 4,208 | 2,346 | 22,032 | 770 |
| 16-Mar-03 | 993 | 1,403 | 1,639 | 1,692 | 1,382 | 7,199 | 850 | 60 | 4,159 | 2,318 | 21,695 | 729 |
| 23-Mar-03 | 973 | 1,378 | 1,605 | 1,665 | 1,340 | 7,066 | 844 | 57 | 4,102 | 2,289 | 21,319 | 712 |
| 30-Mar-03 | 948 | 1,351 | 1,566 | 1,632 | 1,297 | 6,864 | 836 | 56 | 4,016 | 2,252 | 20,818 | 668 |
| 06-Apr-03 | 918 | 1,343 | 1,384 | 1,403 | 1,232 | 6,680 | 795 | 37 | 3,815 | 2,151 | 19,758 | 548 |
| 13-Apr-03 | 946 | 1,379 | 1,416 | 1,330 | 1,201 | 6,571 | 807 | 48 | 3,777 | 2,168 | 19,643 | 458 |
| 20-Apr-03 | 928 | 1,350 | 1,438 | 1,351 | 1,158 | 6,461 | 809 | 44 | 3,732 | 2,139 | 19,410 | 438 |
| 27-Apr-03 | 909 | 1,309 | 1,406 | 1,326 | 1,103 | 6,353 | 796 | 42 | 3,683 | 2,099 | 19,026 | 394 |
| 04-May-03 | 911 | 1,227 | 1,333 | 1,304 | 1,041 | 6,336 | 803 | 41 | 3,594 | 2,073 | 18,663 | 365 |
| 11-May-03 | 876 | 1,127 | 1,238 | 1,231 | 1,076 | 6,324 | 765 | 41 | 3,570 | 2,131 | 18,379 | 1,191 |
| 18-May-03 | 869 | 1,128 | 1,268 | 1,252 | 1,112 | 6,475 | 788 | 39 | 3,624 | 2,204 | 18,759 | 1,605 |
| 25-May-03 | 857 | 1,177 | 1,312 | 1,272 | 1,148 | 6,719 | 818 | 39 | 3,682 | 2,271 | 19,295 | 1,361 |
| 01-Jun-03 | 851 | 1,187 | 1,346 | 1,294 | 1,159 | 6,923 | 832 | 42 | 3,732 | 2,312 | 19,678 | 1,444 |
| 08-Jun-03 | 867 | 1,178 | 1,342 | 1,329 | 1,374 | 7,642 | 911 | 40 | 4,022 | 2,703 | 21,408 | 1,315 |
| 15-Jun-03 | 865 | 1,171 | 1,399 | 1,398 | 1,428 | 7,994 | 934 | 48 | 4,282 | 2,746 | 22,265 | 1,324 |
| 22-Jun-03 | 872 | 1,169 | 1,415 | 1,432 | 1,435 | 8,142 | 955 | 49 | 4,443 | 2,745 | 22,657 | 1,194 |
| 29-Jun-03 | 874 | 1,165 | 1,413 | 1,448 | 1,443 | 8,152 | 960 | 51 | 4,494 | 2,652 | 22,652 | 1,401 |

Table B1Weekly Zonal Coincident Peak Demand Forecast, NormalWeather

Notes to Table B1:

Load Forecast Uncertainty (LFU) is one standard deviation in system peak demand due to variations in weather.

Table B1 - continued

| | 60-Minute Coincident Peak Demand (MW) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Week Ending | Northwest | Northeast | East | Essa | Ottawa | Toronto | Niagara | Bruce | Southwest | West | System | Load Forecast Uncertainty |
| 06-Jul-03 | 839 | 1,155 | 1,455 | 1,512 | 1,479 | 8,462 | 983 | 52 | 4,639 | 2,696 | 23,272 | 1,286 |
| 13-Jul-03 | 838 | 1,144 | 1,464 | 1,522 | 1,519 | 8,491 | 986 | 49 | 4,588 | 2,753 | 23,354 | 1,118 |
| 20-Jul-03 | 844 | 1,152 | 1,453 | 1,529 | 1,525 | 8,472 | 982 | 41 | 4,467 | 2,829 | 23,294 | 1,003 |
| 27-Jul-03 | 846 | 1,152 | 1,425 | 1,526 | 1,515 | 8,390 | 972 | 40 | 4,372 | 2,974 | 23,212 | 972 |
| 03-Aug-03 | 850 | 1,145 | 1,393 | 1,493 | 1,500 | 8,246 | 952 | 41 | 4,323 | 2,930 | 22,873 | 1,090 |
| 10-Aug-03 | 860 | 1,155 | 1,397 | 1,483 | 1,509 | 8,292 | 963 | 44 | 4,344 | 2,958 | 23,005 | 1,220 |
| 17-Aug-03 | 867 | 1,192 | 1,392 | 1,454 | 1,513 | 8,256 | 968 | 45 | 4,335 | 2,968 | 22,990 | 989 |
| 24-Aug-03 | 872 | 1,222 | 1,383 | 1,420 | 1,509 | 8,213 | 963 | 46 | 4,320 | 2,934 | 22,882 | 1,225 |
| 31-Aug-03 | 878 | 1,248 | 1,382 | 1,399 | 1,506 | 8,276 | 977 | 48 | 4,348 | 2,973 | 23,035 | 1,344 |
| 07-Sep-03 | 835 | 1,216 | 1,360 | 1,313 | 1,286 | 7,610 | 905 | 52 | 4,058 | 2,600 | 21,235 | 1,242 |
| 14-Sep-03 | 848 | 1,209 | 1,281 | 1,218 | 1,283 | 7,423 | 884 | 51 | 3,948 | 2,535 | 20,680 | 891 |
| 21-Sep-03 | 865 | 1,168 | 1,194 | 1,129 | 1,291 | 7,065 | 832 | 52 | 3,797 | 2,381 | 19,774 | 1,330 |
| 28-Sep-03 | 878 | 1,161 | 1,145 | 1,075 | 1,287 | 6,901 | 806 | 51 | 3,717 | 2,293 | 19,314 | 1,343 |
| 05-Oct-03 | 934 | 1,246 | 1,206 | 1,172 | 1,274 | 6,544 | 790 | 45 | 3,676 | 2,147 | 19,034 | 348 |
| 12-Oct-03 | 920 | 1,277 | 1,317 | 1,241 | 1,291 | 6,632 | 791 | 45 | 3,714 | 2,134 | 19,362 | 350 |
| 19-Oct-03 | 923 | 1,303 | 1,382 | 1,325 | 1,285 | 6,703 | 798 | 43 | 3,787 | 2,156 | 19,705 | 383 |
| 26-Oct-03 | 921 | 1,329 | 1,441 | 1,402 | 1,280 | 6,754 | 804 | 46 | 3,848 | 2,179 | 20,004 | 428 |
| 02-Nov-03 | 942 | 1,350 | 1,392 | 1,351 | 1,304 | 7,113 | 813 | 50 | 3,953 | 2,270 | 20,538 | 369 |
| 09-Nov-03 | 978 | 1,373 | 1,543 | 1,504 | 1,378 | 7,257 | 864 | 61 | 4,115 | 2,361 | 21,434 | 546 |
| 16-Nov-03 | 1,000 | 1,387 | 1,595 | 1,566 | 1,423 | 7,405 | 875 | 62 | 4,185 | 2,402 | 21,900 | 417 |
| 23-Nov-03 | 1,010 | 1,423 | 1,659 | 1,640 | 1,465 | 7,523 | 884 | 60 | 4,253 | 2,425 | 22,342 | 576 |
| 30-Nov-03 | 1,012 | 1,432 | 1,690 | 1,682 | 1,474 | 7,534 | 889 | 64 | 4,328 | 2,438 | 22,543 | 583 |
| 07-Dec-03 | 1,043 | 1,467 | 1,772 | 1,780 | 1,541 | 7,721 | 899 | 65 | 4,473 | 2,478 | 23,239 | 850 |
| 14-Dec-03 | 1,041 | 1,480 | 1,799 | 1,816 | 1,558 | 7,732 | 899 | 66 | 4,506 | 2,478 | 23,375 | 711 |
| 21-Dec-03 | 1,043 | 1,499 | 1,829 | 1,852 | 1,570 | 7,803 | 907 | 66 | 4,547 | 2,510 | 23,626 | 905 |
| 28-Dec-03 | 1,042 | 1,509 | 1,848 | 1,876 | 1,579 | 7,825 | 909 | 64 | 4,524 | 2,517 | 23,693 | 721 |
| 04-Jan-04 | 975 | 1,499 | 1,752 | 1,778 | 1,464 | 7,126 | 830 | 57 | 4,139 | 2,278 | 21,898 | 729 |
| 11-Jan-04 | 1,063 | 1,524 | 1,877 | 1,910 | 1,603 | 7,919 | 911 | 60 | 4,554 | 2,539 | 23,960 | 858 |
| 18-Jan-04 | 1,054 | 1,517 | 1,855 | 1,882 | 1,582 | 7,828 | 907 | 61 | 4,519 | 2,522 | 23,727 | 953 |
| 25-Jan-04 | 1,044 | 1,502 | 1,846 | 1,867 | 1,568 | 7,761 | 899 | 62 | 4,490 | 2,485 | 23,524 | 845 |
| 01-Feb-04 | 1,043 | 1,500 | 1,857 | 1,868 | 1,573 | 7,811 | 903 | 62 | 4,518 | 2,491 | 23,626 | 589 |
| 08-Feb-04 | 1,029 | 1,483 | 1,830 | 1,827 | 1,543 | 7,760 | 899 | 64 | 4,482 | 2,467 | 23,384 | 707 |
| 15-Feb-04 | 1,027 | 1,470 | 1,806 | 1,788 | 1,519 | 7,704 | 894 | 63 | 4,449 | 2,441 | 23,161 | 778 |
| 22-Feb-04 | 1,021 | 1,448 | 1,760 | 1,727 | 1,479 | 7,560 | 885 | 63 | 4,366 | 2,394 | 22,703 | 825 |
| 29-Feb-04 | 1,027 | 1,436 | 1,707 | 1,752 | 1,439 | 7,500 | 874 | 65 | 4,316 | 2,400 | 22,516 | 803 |
| 07-Mar-04 | 1,013 | 1,411 | 1,683 | 1,734 | 1,420 | 7,408 | 868 | 65 | 4,262 | 2,379 | 22,243 | 770 |
| 14-Mar-04 | 995 | 1,389 | 1,654 | 1,715 | 1,385 | 7,274 | 862 | 59 | 4,209 | 2,352 | 21,894 | 729 |
| 21-Mar-04 | 975 | 1,363 | 1,622 | 1,689 | 1,341 | 7,136 | 856 | 58 | 4,153 | 2,322 | 21,515 | 712 |
| 28-Mar-04 | 952 | 1,336 | 1,584 | 1,658 | 1,300 | 6,942 | 848 | 55 | 4,068 | 2,286 | 21,029 | 668 |

Notes to Table B1:

Load Forecast Uncertainty (LFU) is one standard deviation in system peak demand due to variations in weather.

| | 60-Minute Non-Coincident Peak Demand (MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|-----------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|
| Week Ending | Northwest | Northeast | East | Essa | Ottawa | Toronto | Niagara | Bruce | Southwest | West | Total |
| 06-Oct-02 | 942 | 1,314 | 1,240 | 1,159 | 1,287 | 6,468 | 781 | 47 | 3,626 | 2,117 | 18,981 |
| 13-Oct-02 | 941 | 1,341 | 1,308 | 1,230 | 1,284 | 6,550 | 786 | 47 | 3,667 | 2,123 | 19,277 |
| 20-Oct-02 | 939 | 1,368 | 1,370 | 1,310 | 1,278 | 6,621 | 790 | 48 | 3,739 | 2,134 | 19,597 |
| 27-Oct-02 | 934 | 1,392 | 1,432 | 1,391 | 1,272 | 6,678 | 795 | 48 | 3,801 | 2,150 | 19,893 |
| 03-Nov-02 | 966 | 1,418 | 1,432 | 1,326 | 1,316 | 7,034 | 812 | 61 | 3,900 | 2,239 | 20,504 |
| 10-Nov-02 | 988 | 1,459 | 1,559 | 1,478 | 1,387 | 7,201 | 853 | 61 | 4,070 | 2,332 | 21,388 |
| 17-Nov-02 | 1,004 | 1,486 | 1,620 | 1,549 | 1,431 | 7,353 | 865 | 61 | 4,142 | 2,375 | 21,886 |
| 24-Nov-02 | 1,013 | 1,497 | 1,681 | 1,622 | 1,469 | 7,467 | 873 | 61 | 4,222 | 2,396 | 22,301 |
| 01-Dec-02 | 1,014 | 1,522 | 1,714 | 1,662 | 1,476 | 7,467 | 877 | 63 | 4,295 | 2,408 | 22,498 |
| 08-Dec-02 | 1,049 | 1,546 | 1,789 | 1,763 | 1,540 | 7,659 | 888 | 65 | 4,429 | 2,449 | 23,177 |
| 15-Dec-02 | 1,045 | 1,566 | 1,820 | 1,796 | 1,559 | 7,671 | 888 | 65 | 4,463 | 2,450 | 23,323 |
| 22-Dec-02 | 1,050 | 1,584 | 1,852 | 1,833 | 1,573 | 7,747 | 897 | 64 | 4,492 | 2,483 | 23,575 |
| 29-Dec-02 | 1,035 | 1,592 | 1,843 | 1,794 | 1,530 | 7,590 | 882 | 60 | 4,392 | 2,451 | 23,169 |
| 05-Jan-03 | 1,042 | 1,600 | 1,719 | 1,694 | 1,414 | 6,909 | 817 | 57 | 3,986 | 2,220 | 21,458 |
| 12-Jan-03 | 1,073 | 1,604 | 1,893 | 1,883 | 1,610 | 7,845 | 898 | 60 | 4,504 | 2,506 | 23,876 |
| 19-Jan-03 | 1,065 | 1,593 | 1,862 | 1,856 | 1,588 | 7,759 | 895 | 60 | 4,470 | 2,489 | 23,637 |
| 26-Jan-03 | 1,058 | 1,569 | 1,833 | 1,840 | 1,569 | 7,695 | 888 | 61 | 4,442 | 2,451 | 23,406 |
| 02-Feb-03 | 1,061 | 1,560 | 1,840 | 1,842 | 1,571 | 7,743 | 891 | 62 | 4,467 | 2,457 | 23,494 |
| 09-Feb-03 | 1,048 | 1,543 | 1,812 | 1,815 | 1,542 | 7,695 | 887 | 63 | 4,432 | 2,435 | 23,272 |
| 16-Feb-03 | 1,048 | 1,534 | 1,788 | 1,792 | 1,518 | 7,640 | 883 | 63 | 4,397 | 2,414 | 23,077 |
| 23-Feb-03 | 1,040 | 1,514 | 1,740 | 1,742 | 1,479 | 7,498 | 873 | 64 | 4,314 | 2,378 | 22,642 |
| 02-Mar-03 | 1,034 | 1,505 | 1,710 | 1,716 | 1,470 | 7,454 | 870 | 64 | 4,262 | 2,367 | 22,452 |
| 09-Mar-03 | 1,024 | 1,483 | 1,670 | 1,709 | 1,446 | 7,329 | 859 | 63 | 4,208 | 2,346 | 22,137 |
| 16-Mar-03 | 1,008 | 1,463 | 1,639 | 1,692 | 1,409 | 7,199 | 850 | 61 | 4,159 | 2,318 | 21,798 |
| 23-Mar-03 | 992 | 1,439 | 1,605 | 1,665 | 1,366 | 7,066 | 844 | 58 | 4,102 | 2,289 | 21,426 |
| 30-Mar-03 | 968 | 1,408 | 1,566 | 1,632 | 1,322 | 6,864 | 836 | 54 | 4,016 | 2,255 | 20,921 |
| 06-Apr-03 | 944 | 1,412 | 1,448 | 1,493 | 1,243 | 6,680 | 813 | 52 | 3,824 | 2,161 | 20,070 |
| 13-Apr-03 | 968 | 1,395 | 1,421 | 1,330 | 1,201 | 6,635 | 819 | 52 | 3,777 | 2,168 | 19,766 |
| 20-Apr-03 | 949 | 1,369 | 1,438 | 1,351 | 1,158 | 6,542 | 824 | 49 | 3,732 | 2,143 | 19,555 |
| 27-Apr-03 | 933 | 1,332 | 1,406 | 1,336 | 1,103 | 6,461 | 812 | 46 | 3,683 | 2,114 | 19,226 |
| 04-May-03 | 926 | 1,277 | 1,379 | 1,338 | 1,071 | 6,371 | 803 | 44 | 3,646 | 2,095 | 18,950 |
| 11-May-03 | 924 | 1,290 | 1,311 | 1,295 | 1,076 | 6,358 | 775 | 45 | 3,570 | 2,132 | 18,776 |
| 18-May-03 | 912 | 1,283 | 1,292 | 1,277 | 1,112 | 6,504 | 795 | 45 | 3,624 | 2,204 | 19,048 |
| 25-May-03 | 896 | 1,268 | 1,327 | 1,297 | 1,165 | 6,719 | 818 | 62 | 3,689 | 2,271 | 19,512 |
| 01-Jun-03 | 895 | 1,264 | 1,360 | 1,319 | 1,176 | 6,923 | 832 | 49 | 3,741 | 2,312 | 19,871 |
| 08-Jun-03 | 894 | 1,238 | 1,342 | 1,329 | 1,374 | 7,658 | 915 | 42 | 4,022 | 2,703 | 21,517 |
| 15-Jun-03 | 888 | 1,225 | 1,399 | 1,398 | 1,428 | 8,013 | 939 | 47 | 4,282 | 2,746 | 22,365 |
| 22-Jun-03 | 892 | 1,210 | 1,415 | 1,432 | 1,435 | 8,172 | 960 | 51 | 4,443 | 2,745 | 22,755 |
| 29-Jun-03 | 897 | 1,206 | 1,413 | 1,448 | 1,443 | 8,186 | 967 | 52 | 4,494 | 2,652 | 22,758 |

Table B2 Weekly Zonal Non-Coincident Peak Demand Forecast, Normal Weather

Table B2 - continued

| 60-Minute Non-Coincident Peak Demand (MW) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|
| Week Ending | Northwest | Northeast | East | Essa | Ottawa | Toronto | Niagara | Bruce | Southwest | West | Total |
| 06-Jul-03 | 893 | 1,197 | 1,457 | 1,512 | 1,479 | 8,494 | 988 | 52 | 4,639 | 2,696 | 23,407 |
| 13-Jul-03 | 868 | 1,198 | 1,465 | 1,522 | 1,519 | 8,509 | 992 | 49 | 4,588 | 2,753 | 23,463 |
| 20-Jul-03 | 876 | 1,212 | 1,454 | 1,529 | 1,525 | 8,483 | 986 | 44 | 4,467 | 2,829 | 23,405 |
| 27-Jul-03 | 874 | 1,223 | 1,425 | 1,526 | 1,515 | 8,393 | 976 | 42 | 4,372 | 2,974 | 23,320 |
| 03-Aug-03 | 886 | 1,211 | 1,393 | 1,493 | 1,500 | 8,256 | 956 | 43 | 4,323 | 2,930 | 22,991 |
| 10-Aug-03 | 890 | 1,227 | 1,397 | 1,483 | 1,509 | 8,309 | 968 | 46 | 4,344 | 2,958 | 23,131 |
| 17-Aug-03 | 893 | 1,255 | 1,392 | 1,454 | 1,513 | 8,278 | 974 | 45 | 4,335 | 2,968 | 23,107 |
| 24-Aug-03 | 897 | 1,283 | 1,383 | 1,420 | 1,509 | 8,242 | 969 | 46 | 4,320 | 2,934 | 23,003 |
| 31-Aug-03 | 905 | 1,313 | 1,382 | 1,399 | 1,506 | 8,311 | 983 | 48 | 4,348 | 2,973 | 23,168 |
| 07-Sep-03 | 884 | 1,320 | 1,372 | 1,337 | 1,310 | 7,610 | 905 | 54 | 4,058 | 2,600 | 21,450 |
| 14-Sep-03 | 899 | 1,327 | 1,292 | 1,246 | 1,304 | 7,423 | 884 | 54 | 3,952 | 2,536 | 20,917 |
| 21-Sep-03 | 915 | 1,337 | 1,203 | 1,129 | 1,291 | 7,091 | 840 | 52 | 3,802 | 2,381 | 20,041 |
| 28-Sep-03 | 924 | 1,340 | 1,198 | 1,102 | 1,287 | 6,918 | 813 | 51 | 3,740 | 2,293 | 19,666 |
| 05-Oct-03 | 943 | 1,303 | 1,250 | 1,172 | 1,293 | 6,544 | 790 | 48 | 3,676 | 2,147 | 19,166 |
| 12-Oct-03 | 944 | 1,332 | 1,317 | 1,241 | 1,291 | 6,632 | 796 | 47 | 3,719 | 2,157 | 19,476 |
| 19-Oct-03 | 941 | 1,358 | 1,382 | 1,325 | 1,285 | 6,703 | 800 | 48 | 3,787 | 2,167 | 19,796 |
| 26-Oct-03 | 937 | 1,381 | 1,441 | 1,402 | 1,280 | 6,754 | 804 | 48 | 3,848 | 2,179 | 20,074 |
| 02-Nov-03 | 955 | 1,405 | 1,451 | 1,351 | 1,317 | 7,113 | 813 | 58 | 3,953 | 2,270 | 20,686 |
| 09-Nov-03 | 987 | 1,444 | 1,578 | 1,504 | 1,382 | 7,257 | 864 | 62 | 4,115 | 2,361 | 21,554 |
| 16-Nov-03 | 1,005 | 1,470 | 1,634 | 1,566 | 1,427 | 7,405 | 875 | 61 | 4,185 | 2,402 | 22,030 |
| 23-Nov-03 | 1,014 | 1,485 | 1,696 | 1,642 | 1,465 | 7,523 | 884 | 61 | 4,253 | 2,425 | 22,448 |
| 30-Nov-03 | 1,016 | 1,509 | 1,731 | 1,682 | 1,474 | 7,534 | 889 | 64 | 4,328 | 2,438 | 22,665 |
| 07-Dec-03 | 1,049 | 1,534 | 1,805 | 1,786 | 1,541 | 7,721 | 899 | 66 | 4,473 | 2,478 | 23,352 |
| 14-Dec-03 | 1,046 | 1,554 | 1,836 | 1,816 | 1,558 | 7,732 | 899 | 65 | 4,506 | 2,478 | 23,490 |
| 21-Dec-03 | 1,050 | 1,570 | 1,867 | 1,852 | 1,570 | 7,803 | 907 | 65 | 4,547 | 2,510 | 23,741 |
| 28-Dec-03 | 1,051 | 1,578 | 1,887 | 1,876 | 1,582 | 7,825 | 909 | 63 | 4,524 | 2,517 | 23,812 |
| 04-Jan-04 | 1,057 | 1,584 | 1,773 | 1,778 | 1,488 | 7,188 | 871 | 58 | 4,139 | 2,354 | 22,290 |
| 11-Jan-04 | 1,074 | 1,590 | 1,914 | 1,910 | 1,610 | 7,919 | 911 | 61 | 4,554 | 2,539 | 24,082 |
| 18-Jan-04 | 1,065 | 1,577 | 1,886 | 1,882 | 1,589 | 7,828 | 907 | 61 | 4,519 | 2,522 | 23,836 |
| 25-Jan-04 | 1,058 | 1,557 | 1,855 | 1,867 | 1,568 | 7,761 | 899 | 61 | 4,490 | 2,485 | 23,601 |
| 01-Feb-04 | 1,061 | 1,552 | 1,857 | 1,868 | 1,573 | 7,811 | 903 | 62 | 4,518 | 2,491 | 23,696 |
| 08-Feb-04 | 1,048 | 1,536 | 1,830 | 1,842 | 1,543 | 7,760 | 899 | 63 | 4,482 | 2,469 | 23,472 |
| 15-Feb-04 | 1,047 | 1,527 | 1,806 | 1,819 | 1,519 | 7,704 | 894 | 64 | 4,449 | 2,448 | 23,277 |
| 22-Feb-04 | 1,041 | 1,507 | 1,760 | 1,771 | 1,479 | 7,560 | 885 | 65 | 4,369 | 2,411 | 22,848 |
| 29-Feb-04 | 1,035 | 1,499 | 1,738 | 1,752 | 1,469 | 7,523 | 882 | 65 | 4,316 | 2,400 | 22,679 |
| 07-Mar-04 | 1,026 | 1,477 | 1,693 | 1,734 | 1,448 | 7,408 | 871 | 64 | 4,262 | 2,379 | 22,362 |
| 14-Mar-04 | 1,009 | 1,458 | 1,654 | 1,715 | 1,411 | 7,274 | 862 | 61 | 4,209 | 2,352 | 22,005 |
| 21-Mar-04 | 993 | 1,433 | 1,622 | 1,689 | 1,366 | 7,136 | 856 | 59 | 4,153 | 2,322 | 21,629 |
| 28-Mar-04 | 972 | 1,403 | 1,584 | 1,658 | 1,324 | 6,942 | 848 | 55 | 4,068 | 2,287 | 21,141 |

Appendix C - Analytical Factors Affecting Demand

| | Factors Affecting | g Daily Energy Demand | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Variable Class | Variable | Change in Variable | Impact On Daily Energy Demand (MWh) | | | | |
| Weather | Daily Avg Temperature | | | | | | |
| | > 16º C | 1°C Increase | 6,380 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | 10°C > and < 16° C | 1°C Increase | 420 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | < 10°C | 1°C Decrease | 2,520 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | Daily Avg Humidity - Dewpoint | | | | | | |
| | > 16º C | 1°C Increase | 2,320 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | 10°C > and < 16° C | 1°C Increase | 150 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | < 10°C | 1°C Decrease | 920 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | Wind | | | | | | |
| | Summer | 1 km/hr Decrease | 270 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | Winter | 1 km/hr Increase | 200 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | Cloud | | | | | | |
| | Summer | Decrease of 1 on Scale | 1,010 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | Winter | Increase of 1 on Scale | 1,730 MWh Increase | | | | |
| Economic | Employment | Increase of 1,000 jobs | 20 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | Housing Stock | Increase of 1,000 houses | 30 MWh Increase | | | | |
| Calendar | Holidays | New Year's Day | 66,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Good Friday | 46,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Victoria Day | 49,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Canada Day | 26,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Simcoe Day | 37,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Labour Day | 55,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Thanksgiving Day | 54,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Rememberance Day | 6,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Christmas | 85,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Boxing Day | 51,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | New Year's Eve | 20,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | | Week Between Christmas and New Years Eve | 38,000 MWh Decrease | | | | |
| | Day of Week | Monday vs Sunday | 44,000 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | | Tuesday vs Sunday | 46,000 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | | Wednesday vs Sunday | 47,000 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | | Thursday vs Sunday | 47,000 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | | Friday vs Sunday | 43,000 MWh Increase | | | | |
| | | Saturday vs Sunday | 11,000 MWh Increase | | | | |

Table C1 Approximate Analytical Factors Affecting Demand

Table C1 – continued

| Factors Affecting Daily Peak Demand | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Variable Class | Variable | Change in Variable | Impact On Daily Peak Demand (MW) | | | | | | |
| Weather | Temperature | | | | | | | | |
| | > 16º C | 1°C Increase | 360 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | 10°C > and < 16° C | 1°C Increase | 40 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | < 10°C | 1°C Decrease | 100 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | Humidity - Dewpoint | | | | | | | | |
| | > 16º C | 1°C Increase | 130 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | 10°C > and < 16° C | 1°C Increase | 20 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | < 10°C | 1°C Decrease | 40 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | Wind | | | | | | | | |
| | Summer | 1 km/hr Decrease | 10 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | Winter | 1 km/hr Increase | 20 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | Cloud | | | | | | | | |
| | Summer | Decrease of 1 on Scale | 80 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | Winter | Increase of 1 on Scale | 80 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| Economic | Employment | Increase of 1,000 jobs | 1 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | Housing Stock | Increase of 1,000 houses | 1 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| Calendar | Holidays | New Year's Day | 2,900 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Good Friday | 2,100 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Victoria Day | 2,300 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Canada Day | 1,000 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | August Civic Holiday | 1,500 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Labour Day | 2,300 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Thanksgiving Day | 2,500 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Rememberance Day | 400 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Christmas | 4,700 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Boxing Day | 2,400 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | New Year's Eve | 1,100 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | | Week Between Christmas and New Years Eve | 1,500 MW Decrease | | | | | | |
| | Day of Week | Monday vs Sunday | 2,000 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | | Tuesday vs Sunday | 2,000 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | | Wednesday vs Sunday | 2,000 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | | Thursday vs Sunday | 1,900 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | | Friday vs Sunday | 1,700 MW Increase | | | | | | |
| | | Saturday vs Sunday | 200 MW Increase | | | | | | |