

## SAR Comment Form for Proposed Version 0 Reliability Standards

This form is to be used to submit comments on the SAR proposing the development of Version 0 Reliability Standards and the associated “Plan for Accelerating the Adoption of NERC Reliability Standards.”

These files may be downloaded at: [ftp://www.nerc.com/pub/sys/all\\_updl/standards/sar/Version-0-SAR-0101.pdf](ftp://www.nerc.com/pub/sys/all_updl/standards/sar/Version-0-SAR-0101.pdf).

You may submit a completed comment form through May 19, 2004 to [sarcomm@nerc.com](mailto:sarcomm@nerc.com) with the words “SAR Comments” in the subject line.

If you have questions please contact Gerry Cauley at [Gerry.Cauley@nerc.net](mailto:Gerry.Cauley@nerc.net).

SAR Commenter Information		
Name: Khaqan Khan		
Organization: Independent Electricity Market Operator (IMO)		
Telephone: 905-855-6288		
Email: khaqan.khan@theIMO.com		
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment
<input type="checkbox"/> ERCOT	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Transmission Owners
<input type="checkbox"/> ECAR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - RTOs, ISOs, Regional Reliability Councils
<input type="checkbox"/> FRCC	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Load-serving Entities
<input type="checkbox"/> MAAC	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Transmission-dependent Utilities
<input type="checkbox"/> MAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 - Electric Generators
<input type="checkbox"/> MAPP	<input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NPCC	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 - Large Electricity End Users
<input type="checkbox"/> SERC	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 - Small Electricity End Users
<input type="checkbox"/> SPP	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 - Federal, State, and Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
<input type="checkbox"/> WECC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I represent Canada.		

Question 1:

Do you support the objectives of the SAR as stated below?

1. Translate the existing reliability rules – namely the existing Board-approved operating policies and planning standards, the 38 compliance templates approved by the NERC board on April 2, and all approved revisions to Operating Policies 5, 6, and 9 being balloted in April 2004 – into an initial baseline (Version 0) set of reliability standards.
2. Identify the Functional Model designation for each performance requirement and measure in the Version 0 standards.
3. Identify sections of the existing operating policies and planning standards that are suitable for NAESB to incorporate into their equivalent “Version 0” business practice standards.
4. Retire existing NERC operating policies, planning standards and compliance templates coincident with adoption of the Version 0 standards. Material that is not part of Version 0 standards will be made into NERC reference documents or NAESB business practices, or dropped if not needed.

Yes, I support these objectives.

No, I do not support these objectives.

Comments describing your reasons for supporting or not supporting the objectives of the SAR:

We fully support the NERC plan for the developemnt of Version 0 Reliability Standards.

With regards to item 4 of Question 1 above, it is our opinion that the development and implementation of "NERC reference documents" should be coincident with development and implementation of "Version 0 reliability Standards".

Additional comments you have to improve the SAR:



Question 2:

What comments and suggestions for improvement do you have regarding the "Plan for Accelerating the Adoption of NERC Reliability Standards"?

We also support the comments developed by ISO/RTO Council- Standards Review Committee.