

March 02, 2007

Comments on NPCC C-40 Document

“Procedures for Inter-AREA Voltage Control”

Introduction:

The IESO thanks NPCC for the opportunity to provide comments on the C-40 document. We commend the drafting team for developing this document. There are very few comments that we can offer but nevertheless these are highlighted below:

Comments:

- There are mentions of “**reactive** flows” throughout the document. We feel that this should be replaced by the word “**reactive power** flows” for better read.
- **Section 3.2.1** - The last sentence which says: “...until such time that the **Area** causing the decay has implemented actions to correct the problem.” is confusing and should be removed. Independent and irrespective of the implementation steps taken by the area causing the voltage decay, all neighbouring area(s) experiencing similar voltage decays should shed load or continue to shed load, if this is what is required, in order to arrest the decay and help improve the voltage profile.
- **Section 3.2.5** – The bulleted point “utilize dispatchable load” would read better if replaced by “curtail dispatchable load”

In parallel with this transmittal, these comments have also been posted on the NPCC Open Process Form at <http://www.npcc.org/>.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Yours truly,



Ronald J. Falsetti, P.Eng.
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO)