

Minutes of Meeting

Date held: February 11, 2010	Time held: 9:00 am to 12:00 pm	Location held: IESO
Invited/Attended	Company Name	Attendance Status (A)ttended; (R)egrets; (S)ubstitute
Rob Cary	Sithe Global	A
Michael Cook*	Emera Energy	R
Danny Fok	OPG	A
Shane Harwood	Sithe Global	A
Randy Heaton	TransCanada	A
Brian Kelly	TransCanada	A
Paul Kerr	Shell Energy	A
David Peterson	OPG	A
Orest Romanish	Northland Power	R
Sushil Samant	Northland Power	A
Sushil Shah	OPG	A
John Veldhuizen	Northland Power	R
John Windsor*	Emera Energy	A
Rhonda Wright Hilbig	IESO	A
Pat Doran	IESO	A
Martin Lodyga	IESO	A
Tibby Abid	IESO	A
Anne Freer	IESO	A
Bill Pettitt	IESO	A
Ralph Williams	IESO	A
Scribe: Rhonda Wright Hilbig, IESO Please report any corrections, additions or deletions e-mail to scribe.		

All meeting material is available on the IESO web site at:

http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/consult/consult_se73.asp

Item 1 Introduction

All parties introduced themselves and their companies.

Item 2 Scope of Detailed Design Review

Rhonda Wright Hilbig provided an overview of the scope of the meeting's review of the Operation Detailed Design document.

Item 3 Overview of EDAC Operations Detailed Design Review

Members of the EDAC project team presented sections of the EDAC Operations Detailed Design document. Individual comments of participants were captured in the document, and will be addressed in a red lined version of the document to be posted for review. Larger participant concerns or discussions for the sections covered are captured below:

Martin Lodyga presented section 3 of the document relating to Authorization and Registration.

Concerns:

- Participants have a concern with the IESO use of DACP as the enduring name of the day ahead process. They feel that it will cause confusion, not only in this document, but during testing when parallel "old" DACP and "new" DACP will be running. IESO responded that a decision was made to continue with current name for day ahead commitment process, and that EDAC was the project name, not the process name. The IESO recognizes that our own use of these two terms in not consistent in the documentation and we will take this into consideration in the next version.
- Participants feel that use of a minute value for Elapsed Time to Dispatch is erroneous – it should be a flag. After much discussion, IESO responded that the use of minutes, while not the best approach given the current use of the data, was rooted in the market rules, and was workable.
- A participant noted that combined cycle plants (CCP) who make use of physical aggregation should not be subject to information requirements for CCP. The IESO agreed to fix this in the next version of the document. It was noted that this arose in other sections of the document as well.
- Participants asked that clarification be provided on the use of the terms "non quick start", "not a quick start", and "quick start", since only quick start is a defined term in the market rules. The IESO agreed to clarify this.
- There was some confusion around the eligibility of ELR generators to resubmit. A request was made to ensure use of term "eligible ELR" to denote those registered cascade river systems that also submitted Daily Energy Limits (DEL) prior to 10:00. There was some discussion of the need to limit the MW amount of change when eligible ELR generators make changes to DEL during the resubmission window. It was pointed out by a participant that the Market Surveillance Panel has commented on this in the past. The IESO responded that this is no different than the ELR resubmissions today, and will not be addressed in EDAC.
- Participants felt that PSU election should be a registration value. The IESO agreed and will make this change in the next version of the Detailed Design.

Pat Doran presented Section 4 of the document on Initialization.

Concerns:

- Pat noted there was a mistake on the treatment of imports and exports between 10 and 14 o'clock. This will be corrected in the next version.
- Participants were told that DGD values they submitted will be shown on MPI screen, but they wondered if these values would be able to be scraped automatically. This question will be brought up at the SE 87 meeting to be held on Friday February 12, 2010.
- A discussion of the submission of DGD during the overnight period, led to a recognition that approval of this submission needs to occur by a certain time, by 10 am prior to the run of EDAC is not good enough. The IESO will take this into consideration in the next version of the document.
- Participants noticed that their understanding of the use of a price spread vs individual offer/bids for a linked wheel is not consistent with the Operations Design Document. The IESO will follow up with participants.

Item 4 Discussion

Additional discussions:

Section 6.2.1. of the detailed design, includes a requirement for two hours notice prior to synchronization of intent to withdraw. Participants thought this was inconsistent with Settlements market rules around the withdrawal charge. The IESO will take this into consideration in the next version of the document.

The IESO asked if participants had a preference for treatment after 14:00 of PSU offers submitted during EDAC, but not approved. Participants preference was for treatment to be consistent with their other resources – that is, for these offers to be rejected at 14:00.

Item 5 Wrap Up

Another meeting will be held the week after the Family Day short week to finish review of the document. The IESO will provide a redlined version of the document prior to the meeting for discussion.

Item 6 Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 pm.